

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,904	04/30/2001	Noel Morel	34232-PCT-USA-A-070337.02	3706
21003 7	12/31/2003		EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS			KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK,	141 10112		1733	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		09/845,904	MOREL, NOEL			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Geoffrey L. Knable	1733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In specific period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 (<u>October 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,7,10,11,14 and 15</u> is/are pendi	ing in the application.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10,11,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureas See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language procedures was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included was inc	ats have been received. Its have been received in Applicate only documents have been received in Applicate (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (arst sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)						
2) Notic	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/845,904

Art Unit: 1733

1. Claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 1 and 2 have been amended to define that the one edge is of a "reduced thickness," the trace-line extending "over the full thickness" of the edge. While it would be agreed that the original disclosure describes a trace-line extending over a constant thickness edge portion that is of reduced thickness (i.e. consistent with new dependent claims 14 and 15), there is not considered to be any original disclosure of a trace-line extending over the full thickness of a broadly defined reduced thickness region. This thus was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention, i.e. it is new matter.

In particular, it is noted that the original disclosure describes (e.g. for fig. 1A) that the edges have an area that is of decreasing thickness ending in tongue of constant thickness, this tongue having the oscillatory trace line. Similar descriptions are provided for figs. 2 and 3, i.e. in which it is the constant thickness portion that has the trace-line. There is thus no original description of the trace-line extending over the full thickness of a reduced thickness region other than in the context of the reduced thickness region being of constant thickness.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,904

Art Unit: 1733

This description/new matter rejection would however be overcome by incorporation of the requirements of claims 14/15 in the respective independent claims.

- Claims 10-11 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 In claim 10, line 5, it appears that "edge of both" should be –edge or both--.
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed October 10, 2003 have been fully considered and they are persuasive but note the new 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1, 2, 6 and 7 necessitated by the amendments to the claims.
- 4. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10 and 11 are allowable except for the minor objection noted above.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/845,904

Art Unit: 1733

Page 4

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

G. Knable December 21, 2003

.